



## TECHNICAL CYBERSECURITY ASSESSMENT

# CRA Technical Assessment Scope

Scope, method, required inputs and acceptance criteria for the technical assessment

## PUBLIC DEMONSTRATION SAMPLE

| Field              | Value                                             |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Document           | CRA Technical Assessment Scope                    |
| Code               | SLX-CRA-SCOPE-001-EN                              |
| Version            | 1.0                                               |
| Date               | 16 August 2026                                    |
| Customer / Project | Application case — IG-200 Industrial Edge Gateway |
| Classification     | Public demonstration sample                       |

This public sample illustrates structure, terminology and level of detail. Customer data, product data and findings are illustrative and the file must not be represented as a real assessment, certification or CRA conformity evidence.

## How to use this document

“CRA Technical Evidence Pack” is the Silicon LogiX commercial name for this engineering service; it is not the name of a document prescribed by Regulation (EU) 2024/2847. The Pack organizes technical evidence, gaps and actions that can support the manufacturer’s technical documentation and conformity path.

It does not replace the manufacturer’s responsibility, legal advice, an EU declaration of conformity, CE marking or any conformity assessment that may require a notified body.

## 1. Engagement objective

Assess the product’s technical readiness for the cybersecurity aspects relevant to a Cyber Resilience Act path by reconstructing the product baseline, software components, exposed surface, update mechanisms, vulnerability handling and availability of technical evidence.

- Establish a repeatable hardware, firmware/software and connected-service baseline.
- Identify available, missing and unverified evidence.

- Produce or normalize the software inventory and SBOM for the agreed release where material allows.
- Connect technical findings to relevant Annex I areas and Annex VII documentation elements.
- Prioritize engineering work for the customer team or a separate Silicon LogiX remediation engagement.

## 2. Product and assessment boundary

| Field          | Agreed baseline                                                                                                      |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Product        | IG-200 Industrial Edge Gateway                                                                                       |
| Hardware       | Rev. B · ARM Cortex-A53 · 1 GB RAM · 8 GB eMMC · 2× GbE · isolated RS-485 · USB service · UART/JTAG                  |
| Software       | IGOS 2.4.1 · U-Boot 2024.01 · Linux 6.1 LTS · Gateway Core 3.2.0 · Web UI 1.8.2                                      |
| Connectivity   | HTTPS Web UI/REST · MQTT 5/TLS 1.3 outbound · Modbus RTU/TCP                                                         |
| Update         | Signed A/B images distributed by the release service; local USB recovery                                             |
| Support period | 5 years from placing on the market — rationale to be completed                                                       |
| Boundary       | Product HW/SW baseline, interfaces and update path; unrelated corporate infrastructure and legal assessment excluded |

Baseline rule: every conclusion is tied to an identifiable product configuration. Later HW/SW changes can invalidate evidence and require a delta assessment.

### 3. Material requested from the product team

| Category               | Requested evidence                                                                     | Baseline need |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Product                | One or two samples or controlled remote access; serial number and HW revision          | Required      |
| Firmware / software    | Binaries, release notes, build configuration and source repositories when in scope     | Required      |
| Architecture           | Block diagram, interfaces, trust boundaries and main data/control flows                | Required      |
| Dependencies           | Manifests, package locks, licences, third-party and open-source components             | Preferred     |
| Update                 | A/B, service and recovery procedures; signing, verification, rollback and distribution | Required      |
| Security controls      | Credentials, roles, provisioning, secure boot/debug policy and logging                 | Required      |
| Vulnerability handling | CVD, reporting contact, triage, advisories and CVE/component tracking                  | Preferred     |
| Lifecycle              | Support-period rationale, cadence, EOL/EOS and decommissioning                         | Preferred     |

### 4. Assessment activities

1. Technical kick-off and baseline freeze: product, variants, intended use, owners and boundaries.
2. Product inventory and architecture reconstruction: components, flows, dependencies and trust boundaries.
3. SBOM and dependency review: top-level and transitive components where technically available; agreed machine-readable format.
4. Attack-surface review: physical and logical interfaces, services, protocols, roles, credentials, maintenance and debug.
5. Security-control review: defaults, access control, integrity/confidentiality, logging, hardening and risk-based mitigations.
6. Update and recovery review: authenticity, integrity, distribution, rollback, failure handling and recovery.
7. Vulnerability-handling review: component monitoring, intake, triage, remediation, advisories and support-period operation.
8. Evidence mapping, findings, priorities, owners, remediation targets and closure criteria.

## 5. Evidence and readiness classification

| Level        | Meaning                                                                           |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| VERIFIED     | Observed on the device, configuration, source or a repeatable test.               |
| DOCUMENTED   | Supported by traceable documentation/version, but not repeated in the assessment. |
| DECLARED     | Provided by the team without sufficient evidence at assessment time.              |
| NOT VERIFIED | Material unavailable, component outside scope or check not planned.               |

| Status       | Use in the report                                                                                |
|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| OK           | Evidence is coherent with the reviewed criterion in the agreed scope; this is not certification. |
| PARTIAL      | A control/evidence exists but is incomplete, inconsistent or insufficiently traceable.           |
| GAP          | A relevant control/process is missing or evidence is absent with material readiness impact.      |
| N/A          | Not applicable to the baseline, with a rationale to be validated in the conformity path.         |
| NOT VERIFIED | No conclusion can be made.                                                                       |

## 6. Outputs and acceptance

| Output                               | Purpose                                                                                                  |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Management Summary                   | Decision view: top findings, ownership and 30/60/90-day path.                                            |
| CRA Technical Evidence Pack          | Baseline, architecture, SBOM summary, attack surface, technical risk, mapping, findings and remediation. |
| SBOM snapshot / inventory            | Release-tied component traceability in an agreed format when technically reconstructible.                |
| Findings register and evidence index | IDs, evidence, impact, priority, owner, status, target release and closure records.                      |

## 7. Exclusions and responsibility

- Legal opinion, binding CRA scope determination, certification, CE marking or EU declaration of conformity.
- Full penetration test, red team, accredited laboratory, safety or EMC assessment unless separately agreed.
- Cloud, mobile apps or customer infrastructure not expressly included.
- A guarantee that the product has no vulnerabilities or continuous monitoring after delivery.
- The manufacturer retains responsibility for product classification, technical-document completeness and the chosen conformity procedure.

## 8. Operational phases and change control

| Phase                      | Output                                                   | Gate                             |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A · Baseline and discovery | Confirmed scope, evidence request, product map           | Baseline frozen                  |
| B · Technical assessment   | SBOM, surface, control review and risk evidence          | Technical review                 |
| C · Reporting              | Pack, summary, register and roadmap                      | Delivery and comment cycle       |
| D · Remediation (optional) | Product changes, hardening, tooling and closure evidence | New release + delta verification |

New variants, components, services or releases found after the baseline freeze are recorded as a change request or open point so evidence from different products is not mixed.

### Official references

[Regulation \(EU\) 2024/2847 — official text](#)

[European Commission — Cyber Resilience Act](#)

[European Commission guidance C\(2026\) 5252, published 27 July 2026](#)

Verified on 16 August 2026. The Commission guidance is non-binding. Later implementing acts, harmonised standards, common specifications and guidance must be reviewed before any real engagement.